

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/034,533	HARRIS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Carlos Lopez	1731	

All Participants:

- (1) Carlos Lopez.
 (2) Adenike Adewuya.

Status of Application: Pending

- (3) _____.
 (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 31 January 2005

Time: 12pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
 N/A

Claims discussed:
 4-5,7 and 9-10

Prior art documents discussed:
 N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
 See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was agreed to insert the term "material" in claims 4-5 and 9-10 in order to clarify that the phrase "refractory" refers to "refractory material. Additionally, it was noted that there was no nexus between the two recited steps in claim 7. Applicant proposed to amend claim 7 as noted in the Examiner's amendment..